

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CITY OF STERLING HEIGHTS  
GENERAL EMPLOYEES'  
RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Individually and  
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

No. C 14-80161 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

**NOTICE RE UPCOMING  
ORAL ARGUMENT**

For the upcoming oral argument on the pending motion to quash, the parties are requested to be prepared to address the following questions:

1. Whether the automatic stay on all discovery under the PSLRA is applicable on account of ongoing motion practice, *see SG Cowen Sec. Corp. v. United States District Court*, 189 F.3d 909, 911 (9th Cir. 1999) (“discovery should be permitted in securities class actions *only after the court has sustained the legal sufficiency of the complaint*”) (emphasis in original), and;
2. Whether any discovery has been propounded by Prudential in the underlying action.

Dated: June 18, 2014.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE